City and State: Milwaukee, Wisconsin

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin
In the Matter of:
The Use of a Cell-Site Simulator to Locate the Cellular Device Assigned Call Number (406) 564-5833  Case No. 19-M5-139/
SEARCH AND SEIZURE WARRANT
To: Any authorized law enforcement officer
An application by a federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the government requests the search of the following person or property located in the Eastern District of Wisconsin:
See Attachment A.
I find that the affidavit(s) or any recorded testimony, establish probable cause to search and seize the person or property described above and that such search will reveal:
See Attachment B.
YOU ARE COMMANDED to execute this warrant ON OR BEFORE  in the daytime between 6:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.  at any time in the day or night because good cause has been established.  Unless delayed notice is authorized below, you must give a copy of the warrant and a receipt for the property taken to the person from whom, or from whose premises, the property was taken, or leave the copy and receipt at the place where the
property was taken.
The officer executing this warrant, or an officer present during the execution of the warrant, must prepare an inventory as required by law and promptly return this warrant and inventory to the Honorable William E. Duffin.  (United States Magistrate Judge)
<ul> <li>✓ Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b), I find that immediate notification may have an adverse result listed in 18 U.S.C.</li> <li>§ 2705 (except for delay of trial), and authorize the officer executing this warrant to delay notice to the person who, or whose property, will be searched or seized (check the appropriate box)</li> <li>✓ for 30 days (not to exceed 30)</li> <li>✓ until, the facts justifying, the later specific date of</li></ul>
Date and time issued: 12/26/1903: 45 p.m. William E. Judge's signature  Judge's signature

Case 2:19-mj-01391-WED Filed 01/03/20 Page 1 of 4 Document 2

William E. Duffin, U.S. Magistrate Judge

AO 93 (Rev.11/13) Search and Seizure Warrant			
Return			
Case No:	Date and time warrant executed:	Copy of warrant and inventory left with:	
19-W5-1391	12/27/19 @ 06:30	N/A	
Inventory made in the presence of:			
<b>№/</b> A			
Inventory of the property taken and/or name of any person(s) seized:			
Monitoring of the device began and ended on 12/27/19			
Monitoring of the device began and			
Certification			
I declare under penalty of perjury that this inventory is correct and was returned along with the original warrant to the undersigned judge.			
10 h		2/	
Date: 113/120	4		
	→ Œxe	ecuting officer's signature	
	<u>Jerenny</u>	lalsch 50USM	
Subscribed, sworn to, and returned before me this date:			
= 100 seriored, sworn to, and returned before the this date.			
Date: /3/2020	Will	ign & hoffing	
United States Magistrate Arge			

## **ATTACHMENT A**

This warrant authorizes the use of the electronic investigative technique described in Attachment B to identify the locations of the cellular device assigned phone number (406) 564-5833, whose wireless provider is Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless.

## **ATTACHMENT B**

Pursuant to an investigation of **Jesus MIRAFUENTES-VALDEZ**, for a violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1), and being a fugitive from justice, this Warrant authorizes the officers to whom it is directed to determine the location of the cellular device identified in Attachment A by collecting and examining:

- radio signals emitted by the Target Cellular Device for the purpose of communicating with cellular infrastructure, including towers that route and connect individual communications; and
- 2. radio signals emitted by the Target Cellular Device in response to radio signals sent to the cellular device by the officers; for a period of thirty days, during all times of day and night. This warrant does not authorize the interception of any telephone calls, text messages, other electronic communications, and this warrant prohibits the seizure of any tangible property. The Court finds reasonable necessity for

the use of the technique authorized above. See 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b)(2).